August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: HARLINGEN CISD

 Campus Name:
 KEYS ACAD
 Grade Span:
 07 - 12

 Campus Number:
 031903003
 % At-Risk:
 86

Campus Number: 031903003 Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disad
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skills (TA	MKS) Progre	ss (Grades)	3-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
		X		X		Х
2006-07 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	12,168	223	*	204	15	157
# Tests	15,705	313	*	290	19	237
% Met Standard	77%	71%	*	70%	79%	66%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	*	93%	6%	76%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	11,634	179	*	157	22	113
# Tests	15,370	273	*	247	26	188
% Met Standard	76%	66%	*	64%	85%	60%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					
Actual Change	1	5	*	6	-6	6
Improvement Required	_	_	_	_	_	_
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	SDAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
<u>-</u>	ment II (S	EDAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	SDAA II)(Gr	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results		SDAA II)(Gr		n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	-	n/a			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a	-	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	-	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	- - -	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: HARLINGEN CISD

KEYS ACAD Grade Span: 07 - 12 Campus Name: Campus Number: 031903003 % At-Risk: 86

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

Analysis	groups	used	to	determine	ratings	are	marked	with	an	'X'	

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanio	: White	Disady
ompletion Rate II (Grades 9-12)						
Analysis Groups Evaluated	x	x		x		x
Class of 2006						
# Completers	730	62	0	51	11	23
# Non-completers	112	17	0	13	4	10
# in Class	842	79	0	64	15	33
Completion Rate	86.7%	78.5%	-	79.7%	73.3%	69.79
Student Group %	n/a	100%	0%	81%	19%	429
Class of 2005						
# Completers	755	62	0	53	9	35
# in Class	808	65	0	56	9	30
Completion Rate	93.4%	95.4%	-	94.6%	100.0%	97.29
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					3
Actual Change	-6.7	-16.9	-	-14.9	-26.7	-27.
Improvement Required	_	-	-	_	-	-11.3
Met Required Improvement?						1

beginning with the 2005-06 school year.

Annual Dropout Rate (Grades 7-12)										
Analysis Groups Evaluated		x		x	x	x				
2005-06										
# Dropouts	n/a	45	*	37	8	18				
# Students in Grades 7-12	n/a	348	3	296	49	193				
Dropout Rate	n/a	12.9%	*	12.5%	16.3%	9.3%				
Student Group %	n/a	100%	1%	85%	14%	55%				

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.